



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/975,896	6 10/10/2001		Murtaza Chiba	CISCO-4379	1169		
7	7590 02/21/2006				EXAMINER		
Timothy A. B			CHANG, JUNGWON				
Sierra Patent Group P.O. Box 6149				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Stateline, NV	89449		2154				

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/975,89	6	CHIBA ET AL.				
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jungwon C	hang	2154				
The MAILING E Period for Reply	DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the se	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REF GER, FROM THE MAILING vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR the mailing date of this communication. iffied above, the maximum statutory perion or extended period for reply will, by state fice later than three months after the mater. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no ever od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
2a)☐ This action is FI 3)☐ Since this applic	communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> NAL. 2b) To reation is in condition for allow the practice unde	his action is no wance except f	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification	/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and is objected to by the Exami	d/or election re	equirement.					
Applicant may no Replacement draw	iled on is/are: a)☐ a t request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the corre aration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	ratent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) 8)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/975,896 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Action is in response to amendment filed on 11/7/2005. Claims 1-49 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because the following informalities:

"a RADIUS-complaint server" should be "a RADIUS-compliant server".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 16-18, 21-23, 26-28, 31-33, 36, 37, 38, 41-43, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haverinen et al. (US 2002/0012433), hereinafter Haverine.
- 5. As to claim 1, Haverine discloses the invention as claimed, including an

Application/Control Number: 09/975,896

Art Unit: 2154

apparatus for generating an extended-format Vendor Specific Attribute (VSA) packet (page 9, 0214 – page 10, 0237, "Vendor Specific Extension") comprising:

a RADIUS-compliant server (page 8, 0067-0080, "authentication server" page 16, 0323, "RADIUS protocol", Remote Authentication Dial-In User service compliant server inherently authenticates subscribers and authorizes subscribers to access the network) for generating a VSA packet (figs. 3-6) including at least a Vendor-Type field (Vendor-Type, figs. 4-6); and

wherein said VSA packet includes a Vendor-Extended-Type field if said Vendor-Type field contains a predetermined value (figs. 4-6; page 9, 0214 – page 10, 0237, "NEW_SESSION_KEY_REQUEST_VENDOR_TYPE... this value indicates that the particular type of this extension is a new session key request extension... the administration of the vendor type is done by the vendor).

- 6. As to claim 2, Haverine discloses wherein said Vendor-Extended-Type field is 32 bits in length (figs. 3-6; page 8, 0198).
- 7. As to claims 5 and 46, Haverine discloses a method for generating an extended Vendor Specific Attribute (VSA) (page 9, 0214 page 10, 0237, "Vendor Specific Extension") comprising:

determining whether an extended format VSA is desired (page 8, 0190-0193; page 8, 0201 – page 9, 0209);

if an extended format VSA is desired, then generating an extended format VSA

Art Unit: 2154

containing at least a Vendor-Type field having a predetermined value and a Vendor-Extended-Type field (figs. 4-6; page 9, 0214 – page 10, 0237,

"NEW_SESSION_KEY_REQUEST_VENDOR_TYPE... this value indicates that the particular type of this extension is a new session key request extension... the administration of the vendor type is done by the vendor).

- 8. As to claims 6 and 47, Haverine discloses wherein said Vendor-Extended-Type field is 32 bits in length (figs. 3-6; page 8, 0198).
- 9. As to claim 9, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, Haverine discloses a program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method for generating an extended Vendor Specific Attribute (VSA) (page 5, 0131-0140).
- 10. As to claim 10, Haverine discloses wherein said Vendor-Extended-Type field is 32 bits in length (figs. 3-6; page 8, 0198).
- 11. As to claim 13, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 9 above. In addition, Haverine discloses wherein said Vendor-Extended-Type field is 32 bits in length (figs. 3-6; page 8, 0198).
- 12. As to claims 16, 21, 26 and 31, Haverine discloses a method for receiving an

Application/Control Number: 09/975,896

Art Unit: 2154

extended Vendor Specific Attribute comprising:

determining whether a received packet contains an extended format VSA (page 8, 0190-0193; page 8, 0201 – page 9, 0209);

if said received packet contains an extended format VSA, then reading an extended value contained in a Vendor-Extended-Type field (page 9, 0202); and if said received packet does not contain an extended format VSA, then processing said received packet as normal (page 9, 0203-0209).

- 13. As to claims 17, 22, 27 and 32, Haverine discloses wherein said act of determining whether a received packet contains an extended format VSA is performed by examining said received packet to determine whether a Vendor-Type field contains a predetermined value (figs. 4-6; page 9, 0214 page 10, 0237, "NEW_SESSION_KEY_REQUEST_VENDOR_TYPE... this value indicates that the particular type of this extension is a new session key request extension... the administration of the vendor type is done by the vendor).
- 14. As to claims 18, 23, 28 and 33, Haverine discloses wherein said Vendor-Extended-Type field is 32 bits in length (figs. 3-6; page 8, 0198).
- 15. As to claims 36 and 41, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 16, 21, 26 and 31 above. In addition, Haverine discloses a program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the

Application/Control Number: 09/975,896 Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

machine to perform a method for generating an extended Vendor Specific Attribute (VSA) (page 5, 0131-0140).

- 16. As to claims 37 and 42, Haverine discloses wherein said act of determining whether a received packet contains an extended format VSA is performed by examining said received packet to determine whether a Vendor-Type field contains a predetermined value (figs. 4-6; page 9, 0214 page 10, 0237, "NEW_SESSION_KEY_REQUEST_VENDOR_TYPE... this value indicates that the particular type of this extension is a new session key request extension... the administration of the vendor type is done by the vendor).
- 17. As to claims 38 and 43, Haverine discloses wherein said Vendor-Extended-Type field is 32 bits in length (figs. 3-6; page 8, 0198).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 20, 24, 25, 29, 30, 34, 35, 39, 40, 44, 45, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haverinen et al.

Art Unit: 2154

(US 2002/0012433), hereinafter Haverine, in view of AAPA (Applicant Admitted Prior Art).

20. As to claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 20, 48 and 49, Haverine discloses a VSA packet, which has a field sequence of a type field followed by a Length field followed by a vendor identification field followed by a vendor type field followed by said vendor-extended type field (figs. 3-6). However, Haverine does not specifically disclose an internal length. AAPA discloses an internal length (page 2, lines 3-7, "length of inner value"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Haverine and AAPA because AAPA's internal length field would properly indicate the length of the attribute.

Conclusion

- 21. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Lipford et al, patent 6,980,523 discloses a vendor-specific type field indicated in the vendor extension; and vendor-type indicates the QoS is assured.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 2154

examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-3960. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on 571-272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jungwon Chang February 15, 2006

My Trueword